

**SIXTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME
PRIORITY 2
Information Society Technologies
Cognitive Systems**



Contract for:

INTEGRATED PROJECT

Annex 1 - "Description of Work"

***Year 3 Revision of
Section 8 - Detailed Implementation Plan
Months 25-42***

Project acronym: **ROBOT-CUB**

Project full title: **ROBotic Open-architecture Technology for
Cognition, Understanding and Behavior**

Contract no.: **004370**

Date of preparation of Revision: 26th October 2006

Version Number: 1.2

Operative commencement date of contract:

the first day of the month after the signature by the Commission.

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 8. Detailed Implementation Plan – Months 25-42 | 3 |
| 8.1. Introduction – general description and milestones | 3 |
| 8.2. Work packages list/overview | 15 |
| 8.3. Deliverables list..... | 16 |
| 8.4. Table of responsibilities | 19 |
| 8.5. Work package descriptions..... | 20 |

8. Detailed Implementation Plan – Months 25-42

8.1. Introduction – general description and milestones

Based on the project objectives (PO) presented in Section 2 of Annex I, and on the general activity research plan, presented in Section 6 of Annex I, we set 6 specific objectives to be achieved within the first 42 months of the projects. These objectives are:

- SO-1: A timeline description of human infants' cognitive development based on recent and well documented experimental results. The timeline description shall include, in an experimentally reproducible way, a guide as to how the robotic artifact should develop over time, showing the formation of manipulation skills of varying levels. This description will be the result of joint contribution and research of all the participants with the aim of constructing a coherent description of human cognitive development within the timeframe (approximately from birth to year 3) and skills of interest to RobotCub. Psychophysical and behavioral experiments will be planned and carried out to answer specific questions on the implementation and to acquire relevant missing information about the developmental process. This work will be carried out in the context of efforts to create a cognitive architecture for the iCub, building on the developmental timeline description, neurophysiological models and psychological models, linking in the computational cognitive skills developed in WP3-6, and focusing explicitly on the creation of a cognitive architecture that will enable the integration of the complete research effort.
- SO-2: The complete design, fabrication, assembly, test, and documentation of all iCub components and a suitable integration plan. This includes the definition of the functional and technical specifications of the CUB mechanics, electronics and software architecture. By month 36, the goal is to have a complete validated design, with three generations of prototype having been fabricated, assembled, and tested. In addition, by month 42, up to ten iCub kits will have been fabricated to support the launch of the projects arising from the open call for new research.
- SO-3: The initial results of the implementation of cognitive abilities in an artificial system. This objective will be demonstrated through extensive testing of the robots' cognitive abilities in realistic situations, implemented in several of the existing robotic platforms, as well as through psychophysical and behavioral studies measuring the robots' interactions with humans. In addition to basic manipulatory and visual skills, the robots will be equipped with a number of basic social skills, enabling natural interactions between robots and humans. These social interactions are indispensable to the modeling and assessment of cognitive development. We will follow the approach outlined in section 6 of Annex I and, by month 42, we will have modeled, implemented, and understood to a certain degree the following cognitive aspects underlying the development of infants' manipulation behaviors:
- a. The ability of learning and exploiting object affordances in order to correctly grasp objects on the basis of their use.
 - b. The ability of understanding and exploiting simple gestures to interact socially.
 - c. The ability of learning new manipulation skills and new communicative gestures by correctly interpreting and imitating the gestures of a human demonstrator.
 - d. The ability to crawl, sit up, and keep the upper torso and head stable when reaching.
- SO-4: Results of the testing of new technologies to be used in the iCub platform. Particularly important for the scope and goal of RobotCub is to monitor and to test continually new technologies for sensors and actuators as well as the electronic (HW/SW) components of the Open System Platform.

SO-5: The Community Building activities outlined in section 2 of Annex I will be carried out all along the project's duration. During the first 42 months the following actions will be undertaken:

- a. Establishment and initial results of the work of the International Advisory Board regarding the community building activities and the formal contacts with other funding agencies in relation to the launching of an international collaborative initiative on "Cognitive Systems".
- b. Dissemination and networking activities, with special reference to the activation of strong links with Networks of Excellence on relevant themes, and other similar initiatives to be launched in the FP6, such as the euCognition network.
- c. Organization of a summer school on embodied cognition, as a pilot action in the education of new generations of scientists.
- d. Multidisciplinary intensive brainstorming and workshops on focused relevant topics.
- e. Preparatory actions aimed at promoting the dissemination of the iCub among scientists. This will be done in strict interaction with European and national institutions and with other projects and NoE's of the Cognitive System's Initiative.
- f. Establishment of formal partnerships with International Laboratories and research centers including the definition of Intellectual Property Rights rules for sharing/exchanging of artificial implementations of cognitive behavior.

SO-6: Update of the Open System legal aspects and definition of the organization.

Cognitive Manipulation

The project addresses the implementation of a humanoid robot's manipulative skills through learning, imitation and social communication. To this end, an ideal system should include at least a binocular head, two arms with hands, and a torso. However, during the first 30 months the actual systems we intend to use will necessarily be based on existing components (head and arm). This fact constrains the possible manipulation experiments to the use of one arm only. Other relevant aspects of the project (such as the architecture of social behaviors, the seeds of communication skills, and crawling/sitting) will be studied with different platforms. Any new prototype designed and realized during this period will be thought of with the longer term objective in mind of building the iCub: a robot to be effectively used to implement and test complex, human-like, manipulative behaviors.

Before entering into the details of the specific activities we describe the experimental scenario that constitutes the robot's environment and the approach we intend to follow in addressing the issues of learning, imitation and communication. Note that this scenario is described in more detail in Deliverable D2.1, Section 16, and it modifies and extends the scenario envisaged in the original version of Annex I, Section 6. This scenario is not yet complete and will continue to be developed over the coming months..

The primary focus of the early stages of ontogenesis is to develop manipulative action based on visuo-motor mapping, learning to decouple motor synergies (e.g.) grasping and reaching), anticipation of goal states, learning affordances, interaction with other agents through social motives, and imitative learning. Needless to say, ontogenesis and development are progressive. In the following, we emphasize the early phases of development, building on enhanced phylogenetic skills and scaffolding the cognitive abilities of the iCub to achieve greater prospection and increased (action-dependent) understanding of the iCub of its environment and mutual understanding with other cognitive agents.

It is important to emphasize that the ontogenetic training program that facilitates the development of the iCub is biologically inspired and tries to be as faithful as possible to the

ontogenesis of neonates. Consequently, the development of manipulative action will build primarily on visual-motor mapping.

The following are the scenarios that will be used to provide opportunities for the iCub to develop, in order of their deployment over time.

Reaching for Objects

The most basic skill is not to grasp the object but to get the hand to the object. In order to do that, the visual system has to define the position of the object in front of it in motor terms. The newborn infant has such an ability. Newborns can monitor the position of the hand in front of them and guide it towards the position of an object. The visual guidance of the hand is crude to begin with and it needs to be trained. Putting the hand into the visual field opens up a window for such learning. When newborn infants approach an object, all the extensors of the arm and hand move in extension synergy. In order to grasp the object, the infant has to overcome this synergy and flex the fingers around the object when the arm is in an extended position. Note that human infants do not master this decoupling of extension and flexion until 4 months of age.

Grasping Objects

Once the iCub masters the extension of the hand towards objects in the surrounding and can flex the fingers around them, grasping skills can develop. However, the iCub must have some kind of motive for grasping objects in order to make this happen. Note that it is the sight of the object that should elicit anticipations of the sensory consequences of the action. Infants who are at the transition to mastering the grasping of objects anticipate crudely the required orientation of the hand. They open the hand fully when approaching any object which optimizes the chances of getting the object into the hand. Adjusting the opening of the hand during the approach to the size of the object to be grasped develops as the infant becomes experienced with object manipulation. The timing of the grasp is controlled visually but, to begin with, at the expense of interrupting the flow of the action (the movement is temporarily stopped before the close around it). This coordination also improves as a function of experience.

Affordance-based Grasping

Grasping objects as a function of their use only develops after infants master reaching and grasping objects in a versatile way (towards the end of the first year of life). The first manipulative actions are general and explorative: squeezing, turning, shaking, putting into the other hand etc. The purpose can be said to learn about object properties. More specific and advanced object manipulation skills only develop after the end of the first year of life, like putting objects into apertures, inserting one object into another, position lids on pans, building towers of blocks. Mastering actions like that relies on anticipation of goal states of manipulatory actions. This is how we intend the iCub to develop its manipulatory action. The sensory effects of manipulatory action should be primarily visual, like the disappearance of the object into the hole.

Imitative Learning

Social motives in the training of manipulatory action are very important. Attending visually to the play-pal and the object the play-pal is demonstrating is crucial. Goals of the play-pal's actions and intentions must be considered. Sensitivity to such social stimuli as faces should be prioritized. When the iCub sees a face, it should activate attentional mechanisms for communication with and learning from the play-pal. There is an extensive literature on face perception in neonates and infants and it shows that visual sensitivity to faces and eye contact is innate. Furthermore, the ability to interpret gaze direction and pointing of the play pal must be considered.

Learning to Crawl

In addition to these scenarios, it is also intended that the iCub will learn to crawl. In this context, we will explore the possibility of sharing the same control circuitry for reaching with the forearms and for modulating the forearms during crawling (e.g. to do visually-guided hand placements).

In summary, our framework for the development of the iCub is as follows.

- The iCub starts with an innate visual-motor map that enables it to get the robot hand into the visual field. Thus, the robot also needs to have an innate conception of space in motor coordinates. We will investigate the possibility of developing this map or deploying one that is pre-programmed. When the hand is in the visual field, the iCub tries to maintain it there. The iCub should also be able to move its hand towards graspable objects in the visual field. In order to do all this, the robot should be equipped with motives to move the hand into the visual field and towards objects that can be grasped. These motives will be based on some reward function such as the long-term decrease in entropy of some function of the iCub's behaviour, a decrease which may not be monotonic.
- When the robot can move the arm to the vicinity of objects in space, the visual system should begin to dock the hand onto the objects of interest. Certain anticipatory skills need to be built in to do this: the relationship between hand-orientation and the opposition spaces of objects, anticipation of when the object is encountered and a preparedness to grasp the object in preparation of this encounter. To begin with the object is grasped with the whole hand and the grasp is visually guided. Already at this developmental stage, the iCub should train to catch moving objects.
- The next step is to enable more exact control over the grasping action by controlling individual finger movements. In infants this occurs at around 9 months of age. The iCub will train to reach and grasp small artefacts like peas and objects of more complex forms. It will examine objects by squeezing, turning, and shaking them, and moving them from one hand to the other.

Once the iCub has mastered these skills, we will move on to experimental scenarios in which the iCub learns to develop object manipulation by playing on its own and or with another animate agent, that is, grasping objects and doing things in order to attain effects, like inserting objects into holes, building towers out of blocks etc. At this stage, social learning of object affordances becomes crucial. These scenarios will focus on the use of more than one object, emphasizing the dynamic and static spatial relationships between them. In order of complexity, examples include:

- Learning to arrange block on a flat-surface;
- Learning to stack blocks of similar size and shape;
- Learning to stack blocks on similar shape but different size;
- Learning to stack blocks of different shape and size.

The chief point about these scenarios is they represent an opportunity for the iCub to develop a sense of spatial arrangement (both between itself and objects and between objects), and to arrange and order its local environment in some way. These scenarios also require that the iCub learn a set of primitive actions as well as their combination.

Within this scenario we intend to follow a developmental path starting from a limited amount of innate knowledge in the form of motor synergies and learning progressively more complex actions both in terms of their variety and accuracy, and with respect to achieving more complex goals (such as using an object to act on a second one).

The robot will be also equipped with modules devoted to the acquisition of the structure of the interaction. Aspects of the interaction that will be considered include the regulation of the interaction dynamics, turn-taking, social spaces, approach/avoidance, etc. The longer term goal is to devise plausible mechanisms for the acquisition of “social competencies”. In the 42 month timeframe we expect to develop a robotic test-bed for the design of communicative interactive behaviors (non-verbal), to develop a small scale user-study to evaluate the appreciation of the behaviors, and to develop very simple interaction kinesics.¹

While imitation fits nicely into this plan, communication experiments can be only in part integrated into a single setup in 30 months. Clearly some of the experiments can be conducted (and integrated) on any of the humanoid platforms within the consortium (e.g. manipulation). Other experiments will be carried out separately. It is not realistic to foresee integration on such a short time scale. For example, the investigation on crawling and locomotion has initially been developed separately as part of the mechatronic effort, before being gradually integrated into more general sensorimotor coordination studies. Also in this case, for practical reasons, it is not feasible to fully integrate locomotion with the experiments on manipulation.

Consequently the first 42 months will see three different experimental efforts:

- The cognitive manipulation scenario outlined above.
- The design of the interactive behavior framework and relative analysis.
- The investigation and evaluation of mechatronic aspects such as that of the legs or the sensors.

The first and second efforts will be implemented and tested on existing setups in the first 36 months and will be ported to the iCub by month 42; the third effort will specifically investigate the mechatronic aspects of the iCub.

The first three years will be devoted to the implementation of steps 1, 2 and 3 so that the system should be capable of:

- Learning how to grasp a set of tools either known or unknown.
- Learning about object affordances by exploration/interaction of the manipulator with a set of objects.
- Learning to elicit particular consequences given a certain object by generating a particular action.
- Studying the structure of the acquired “space”: e.g. how small variations in one of the conditions/variables would change the generated action/interpretation.
- Interpreting actions executed by a human operator in terms of the observed consequences onto the environment (without extracting the geometry or the kinematics of the demonstrated action).

At month 42 we expect to have completed the implementation of a first instance of the “learning by imitation” mechanisms. The following important step (from month 18 to 42) will be the implementation of bi-manual manipulation skills, once the iCub is generally available for research. Examples are the exploitation of non-trivial affordances that require e.g. grasping and holding the object with one hand while simultaneously manipulating it with the other hand. Another plausible scenario includes a wider range of object-related activities that require synchronized control of two arms such as opening a slit and inserting an object in it, handling large objects that cannot possibly be grasped appropriately with one hand only, manipulating soft materials such as textiles, etc.

¹ Kinesics is ‘the study of the role and timing of non-verbal behaviour, including body movements, in communicative and interactional dynamics’; see Robins et al., Sustaining interaction dynamics and engagement in dyadic child-robot interaction kinesics: Lessons learnt from an exploratory study intelligent life-like agents, *14th IEEE International Workshop on Robot & Human Interactive Communication, ROMAN* (2005), IEEE Press, pp. 717-724.

Workpackage Breakdown

In order to achieve the goals we set for the first 42 months, the RobotCub project will rely on an intensive interaction between the multidisciplinary scientific communities (human developmental psychology, cognitive robotics, mechatronic, and perceptual science).

This interaction will be boot-strapped by intensive brainstorming, meetings, and workshops, aimed at: 1) reciprocal exchange of knowledge, 2) discussion of the relevant issues, from all perspectives (neuroscientific, robotic, developmental), 3) identification of the critical issues for the design of the joint experiments and of the iCub platforms, and 4) joint formulation of a developmental model of Cognition.

Our assumption in describing this activity is that if roboticists alone lead the system design phase from the beginning perhaps no real breakthrough is possible. On the contrary we propose that psychologists and neuroscientists lead the brainstorming activities during the first 12 months of the project so to identify the crucial components of cognition and a realistic pathway for cognitive development and, in this way, defining the experimental protocols as well as the requirements for robot design.

Psychologists and neuroscientists should also help in defining the experimental activities on existing robotic platforms as well as the definition and the execution of the psychophysical and behavioral experiments. The robotic community will have the responsibility of performing the initial experiments using the existing prototypes. When detailed guidelines of the new robotic system will have been defined based on the outcome of the first "creative" phase, the robotic community within Robot-cub will start the design of the individual iCub's components. This means that the definition of the functional specifications of the RobotCub platform will be based on the components of cognition and on the developmental pathway defined by the psychologists. The functional specifications will be validated by a preliminary synthesis of behaviors implemented on the existing robotic platforms and including a set of relevant aspects of cognition.

Based on these guidelines, the workplan for months 25-42 of RobotCub is structured around 9 Workpackages, which are briefly introduced below.

WP1 - Management concentrates on all the activities related to the coordination of the work and the management of project resources. The coordination is especially intended to integrate the effort of the different partners towards the common goal, as well as to harmonize the contribution of the research activities with the accompanying actions (open system, community building training, etc.). The management activities are aimed at ensuring the proper and best usage of the project's resources, and they are described in full detail in Section 7 of Annex I.

WP2 - Cognitive Development: Contains all activities specifically devoted to the definition *and implementation* of the developmental approach. Activities will start by defining the roadmap of cognitive development. This will include the definition of the cognitive components and their evolution during development. The roadmap will be expressed as a sequence of behavioral experiments to be implemented in the robotic setup. The goal of the experiments is twofold: i) demonstrate the correctness of the developmental roadmap and ii) contribute to the definition of the CUB sensorial, motor and processing requirements. Perception, cognition, and motivations develop at the interface between brain processes and actions. Biology has prepared the infant for action by investing in certain perceptual capabilities and sensorimotor skills and making those proliferate in specific ways that optimize the developmental process. Different modes of learning are recruited for the different problems at the different phases of development. To understand the accumulation of knowledge and the acquisition of skills, both the biological foundation and the mode of learning must be considered. In the initial phases of the project different "partial" implementations of the developmental process will be investigated and compared. There will be a particular focus on how different modes of learning can be applied to different developmental challenges. This activity is thought to be fundamental in defining the cognitive architecture of the robot.

This work-package then will develop a conceptual framework that forms the foundation of the RobotCub project. It will survey what is known about cognition in natural systems, particularly from the developmental standpoint, with to goal of identifying the most

appropriate system phylogeny and ontogeny. It will explore neuro-physiological and psychological models of some of these capabilities, noting where appropriate architectural considerations such as sub-system interdependencies that might shed light on the overall system organization. It will present a roadmap that uses the phylogeny and ontogeny of natural systems to define the innate skills with which iCub must be equipped so that it is capable of ontogenic development, to define the ontogenic process itself, and to show exactly how the iCub should be trained or to what environments it should be exposed to accomplish this ontogenic development (this would be an extension of the six-stage development plan above). Finally, it will address the creation of an architecture for cognition: a computational framework for the operational integration of the distinct capabilities and cognitive skills developed in WP3-6, and it will investigate the challenging issue of theoretical unification of distinct models. The cognitive architecture will be implemented using the software architecture being developed in WP8. New tasks have been added in the period month 25 to month 42 to address the implementation of the cognitive architecture.

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-1, SO-2 and SO-3 described earlier.

WP3 - Sensorimotor Coordination: Activities in this work package are aimed at the definition and *implementation* of the development of sensorimotor skills and their contribution to cognitive development. As a result of WP2 the “innate” abilities will be defined and their implementation on the existing humanoid setups will be carried out. A focus of the effort in months 25-42 is the implementation of these phylogenetic abilities specifically for the iCub. New tasks have been added in the period month 25 to month 42 to address their implementation and integration in the cognitive architecture. The Neuroscience partners of the project will coordinate contributions to the activities of this WP. We would like to stress here, however, that the subdivision into the WP 2-6 does not mean that these workpackages will proceed independently one from another. On the contrary, an intensive “osmosis” is programmed between WP’s, given the fact that increasing experimental evidence is challenging the traditional view of separate structures for action and perception (see section 6 in Annex I). Locomotion, although originally viewed as a simple task in autonomous relocation of the iCub, is now understood to be a complex and essential part of the complete sensorimotor capability of the iCub and is being addressed explicitly in this work-package rather than in WP7 as it was in the first year of the project.

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-2 and SO-3 described above.

WP4 - Object Affordances: Activities in this workpackage are aimed at the definition and implementation of the cognitive skills required for the acquisition/exploitation of object affordances. This will involve the analysis of the available knowledge and literature on the development of this skill (which at the moment is not particularly consistent), the definition of the experimental roadmap and identification of further investigation, and finally the test of the initial steps of the roadmap on existing platforms. New tasks have been added in the period month 25 to month 42 to address their implementation and integration of these abilities in the cognitive architecture

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-2 and SO-3 described above.

WP5 - Imitation: The activities in this workpackage will address the cognitive skills required for imitative behaviors. The cognitive skills include a) the ability to recognize and interpret somebody else’s gestures in terms of its own capabilities, b) the ability to learn new gestures on the basis the observation of those of other individuals, c) the ability to learn new object affordances on the basis of a demonstration of novel means of manipulating objects, d) the ability to recognize the purpose of other people’s gestures, such as the goal of manipulating objects in a certain specific way, e) the ability to predict the result of a demonstrated manipulation task and to use this ability to discriminate between good and poor demonstrations of manipulation tasks based on their affordances, and f) the ability to decide when it is good to imitate and what part of the demonstration is relevant to imitation. New tasks have been added in the period month 25 to month 42 to address their implementation and integration of these abilities in the cognitive architecture

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-2 and SO-3 described above.

WP6-Gesture Communication: The activities of this workpackage will address the cognitive skills required to communicate through body gestures. This include the abilities a) to skillfully

control its arms and body in order to produce communicative gestures , b) to track and recognize someone else's gestures, c) to generalize over different gestures and to associate with these functional or semantic meaning, d) to interpret and respond adequately to gestures, e) to understand turn taking as the underlying rhythm of gestured communication. New tasks have been added in the period month 25 to month 42 to investigate grounded gestural communication using information-theoretic methods developed during the first two years.

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-2 and SO-3 described above.

WP7 - Mechatronics: The activities in this major workpackage are devoted to the finalization of the design of the mechatronic components of the iCub, their fabrication, assembly, test, and documentation. As for the previous period, we stress here the fact that it is the responsibility of each partner involved in the design to fully test the design before submitting it to the management committee. A final decision is taken with the testing data available.

The systems will be designed according to the mechatronic paradigm: that is by smoothly integrating, right from the initial design phase, the mechanisms, proprioceptive and exteroceptive sensors, actuators, embedded processing, and any other components and interfaces needed for the functioning of the system and for connection to the other subsystems of the iCub. Body parts will be anthropomorphic and integrated into the whole design according to the specifications agreed at the beginning of the project. Their design will be based on both traditional and innovative mechanical solutions, including the use of non-conventional materials (e.g. silicone rubbers, soft polyurethane resins, carbon fibers or generic composite materials) in order to obtain lightweight mechanisms with a high level of performance.

WP7 includes activities related to the realization of the first prototype at UGDIST, collecting the CAD drawings and testing results from all partners, smoothing and integrating the design, and fully debugging the entire platform including the mechanics, the electronics and the low-level control.

Each subsystem (e.g. head, arm, hand, ...) is constructed by the partner responsible for the design; this subsystem is denoted version 1. The project coordinator, i.e. University of Genova, then constructs a second subsystem based on the drawings and specification released by the designers with the goal of validating the designs; this subsystem is denoted version 2. Any changes to the design required by this validation process are implemented. A third subsystem is then constructed from these final designs; this subsystem is denoted version 3. At that point, the final designs, drawings, and specifications are released as an open system. In addition to this procedure, partners that are scheduled to produce software implementations of perception/action and cognition primitives as agreed in the cognitive architecture will be encouraged to build their own version 3 subsystem.

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-2, SO-4 and SO-3 described above.

WP8 – iCub Open System: The main activities of WP8 are aimed at establishing the structure necessary to support the compilation, maintenance, and distribution of the CUB design including the technical as well as the legal aspects. The activities will also include the definition, design, and implementation of the software architecture using Yarp.

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-2, SO-4 and SO-3 described above.

WP9 - Community building and self-assessment: The activities here represent the dissemination aspects of the project as well as the training activities. The main contribution will be to SO-5.

The dissemination activity shall involve two types of dissemination: internal and external. In a consortium of this size and nature it is not only required to provide external dissemination of research results. It is equally important to have dissemination of information internally so as to ensure cohesion within the consortium and to allow training of involved researchers on the interdisciplinary themes involved.

As for the *internal dissemination*, to ensure a common ground for studies and appreciation of the involved complexity a number of activities are undertaken to achieve training of the involved researchers, like:

- Tutorials on human cognition and development.
- Tutorial on relevant mechatronic aspect.
- Tutorial on modeling of human sensorimotor coordination (e.g. gaze control and grasping).
- Tutorial on robot control system.
- Annual summer school with the participation of the PhD's and postdocs involved in the project.
- Scientific workshop on an annual basis.

In a mixed consortium it is crucial that the scientists are able to access/understand the diverse literature and understand the basic terminology. For these reasons during the first three months of the project a three day workshop with tutorial presentations will be organized to bring together the involved researchers and provide them with a common basis for their studies. Subsequently, the tutorials will be made available on the consortium web site for easy access and referencing. In addition the tutorials will be updated annually to reflect progress and take feedback into account. On an annual basis a summer schools will be organized by the consortium. Each year a selected theme will be chosen as a basis for a week long event. All involved PhD students will be invited to the summer schools. An important side-effect of the summer school will be the set up a social network across the involved institutions as a basis for joint studies.

In association with the annual review a 2-day scientific workshop will be organized for the presentation of the detailed results across the set of studies. At each workshop 2-3 prominent international researchers will be invited to attend the workshop and provide an outside view of progress elsewhere. In addition the EU reviewers will be invited to attend the workshop. The formal review of the project will take place on the final days of the workshop.

As for the *external dissemination*, an important part of the project is naturally dissemination of achieved results to the scientific community in general. All studies will in the tradition of good science be published in particular in archival journals. In addition a number of events will be organised to ensure proper dissemination to the scientific community, potential end-users, and the society in general. In particular the following events/mechanisms have been foreseen:

- Cognitive Robotics workshop at a major robotics conference, during the second year.
- Special issues on international journals such as the "Journal of Interaction Studies" Published by John Benjamins Publishing Company on topics related to Social Behaviour and Communication in Biological and Artificial Systems (Yr 3).
- Setup and maintenance of a RobotCub Wiki.
- Setup and maintenance of the iCub distribution facilities.

It is here important to note that workshops will be organized to distribute results to all scientific communities contributing to RobotCub. In addition, special issues of selected robotics and neuroscience journals will be organized. Given the lead time for call for papers, reviewing and publication it is not realistic to have such efforts completed until the end of Yr 2 and 3, respectively, but the activities aimed at such initiatives will start during this first 18-month period.

All the above activities will be coordinated with the Networks of Excellence and with similar initiatives supported by the European Commission under the 6th framework.

As to the specific activities devoted to the internationalization of the project, the Advisory Board will invite international and national funding agencies to meetings specifically organized to present the strategic importance of a joint effort for the establishment of an

international scientific community on “cognition” and the need for a common platform like the iCub. Among the International agencies that will be contacted are:

- Human Frontier of Science Program.
- European Science Foundation.
- Office of Naval Research.
- National Science Foundation.

Besides also funding agencies acting at national levels will be contacted at both the European and extra-European level. Among them:

- Ministries and national councils of research supporting basic research activities.
- Ministries and national organization supporting technology transfer and pre-competitive research.
- Agencies supporting specific application areas such as space, civil protection, health management.
- Agencies supporting internationalization of activities (even in the 6th framework).

One of the most important activities in this workpackage is the implementation of the open call for research projects to be carried out by researchers outside the consortium. The planned timetable for this activity is as follows:

| | |
|-----------|---|
| Month 30: | Open call task begins |
| Month 36: | Launch of competitive call for proposals |
| Month 40: | Announcement of successful proposals |
| Month 42: | Launch of new projects: deployment of iCub kits & version1 of iCub software |

In addition, the creation of a Research and Training Site (RTS) will be addressed in this workpackage. The planned timetable for this activity is as follows:

| | |
|-----------|---------------------|
| Month 30: | RTS task begins |
| Month 36: | Creation of the RTS |

This WP will contribute mostly to objectives SO-2, SO-4, and SO-6 described above.

According to the proposed approach in defining the Workplan, each RC defined in Section 6 of Annex I contributes to the definition/implementation of the different Tasks to be performed within certain Workpackages. More specifically, a direct mapping of the RC contributions over the WPs has been identified and agreed with all partners in order to prioritize the relationships between different RCs and specific WPs. This RC-WP mapping used for the preparation of the present workplan is reported in the following table.

| Work Package Number | Work Package Title | Lead Contractor | | Input required from Research Components |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------|---|
| | | Number | Name | |
| WP1 | Management | 1 | UGDIST | ALL ACTIVE RC |
| WP2 | Cognitive Development | 4 | UNIUP | RC 1.1 – RC 1.2 – RC |

| | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|----|--------|--|
| | | | | 1.3 – RC 1.4 – RC 1.5 |
| WP3 | Sensorimotor Coordination | 5 | UNIFE | RC 1.1 – RC 1.2 – RC 2.1 – RC 2.2 |
| WP4 | Object's Affordance | 7 | IST | RC 1.1 – RC 2.1 – RC 2.2 – RC 2.3 |
| WP5 | Imitation | 9 | EPFL | RC 1.1 – RC 2.1 – RC 2.2 – RC 2.3 |
| WP6 | Gesture Communication | 6 | UH | RC 1.1 – RC 2.1 – RC 2.2 – RC 2.3 |
| WP7 | Mechatronic of the CUB | 10 | TLR | RC 2.1 – RC 2.2 – RC 2.3 RC 2.4 – RC 2.5 |
| WP8 | Open System | 1 | UGDIST | RC 3.1 – RC 3.2 – RC 3.3 |
| WP9 | Dissemination | 1 | UGDIST | ALL ACTIVE RC |

Risk analysis

The main risks related to the activity to be performed in the next 18 months can be envisaged and managed as follows.

Particularly crucial are the next few months of the project because they will shape the architecture and structure of the iCUB mechanics, electronics, and software. The decision taken at design stages will be difficult to recover if they prove to be off the mark. A very long debug stage (more than 12 months) is planned to minimize this risk. In case of conflicts, and where it is required to choose between different implementative solutions, the final decision will be taken by the Board of Management and Project's Directorate. The principal criterion will be the advantage of the community as a whole and technological and cost issues will be taken into consideration only as secondary criteria.

In addition, the risk in integrating multiple design solutions in a single coherent robotic platform has to be considered. We are well aware of this potential problem, and we are ready to take alternative avenues and contingent realization plans if needed. In particular, since within the consortium we have analyzed already various solutions for each component, we are confident we will have fall back solutions if needed. The long debug phase is also justified in this respect.

The design of the cognition architecture is clearly another difficult task. In this case, our modus operandi is that of taking informed choices from analyzing the development of human cognition both from the psychological and neuroscientific point of view. Our belief is that it will keep the final architecture very well grounded into what is known about the human brain. Experiments are also planned to elucidate specific aspects or brain functions for which details are not yet available in the literature.

Milestones

| Milestone No | Milestone Description | Month |
|--------------|--|-------|
| M1.1 | Initial design of the robot parts and plan for integration | 30 |
| M1.2 | Implementation of the scenario described in section 8.1 | 30 |
| M1.3 | Creation of the core components of the international community and plans for the international project | 30 |
| M1.4 | Definition of the iCub roadmap of development | 24 |
| M1.5 | Definition of the cognitive architecture | 36 |
| M2.1 | Final design and construction of the first complete validated prototype of the iCub | 36 |
| M2.2 | Launch of call for proposals for 3 rd party research projects | 36 |
| M2.3 | Opening of the Research and Training Site (RTS) | 36 |
| M3.1 | Release of version 1.0 of the iCub software ² | 42 |
| M3.2 | Release the iCub robot kit | 42 |
| M3.3 | Launch of 3 rd party research projects | 42 |

² Yarp-based software architecture and minimal cognitive architecture (phylogenetic abilities and ontogenetic mechanisms)

8.2. Work packages list/overview

| Work-package No | Workpackage title | Lead contractor No | Person-months | Start month | End month | Deliverable No |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| WP 1 | Management | 1 | | 25 | 42 | D1.1-1.5 |
| WP 2 | Cognitive Development | 4 | | 25 | 42 | D2.1-2.2 |
| WP 3 | Sensorimotor Coordination | 5 | | 25 | 42 | D3.1-3.6 |
| WP 4 | Object's Affordance | 7 | | 25 | 42 | D4.1-4.2 |
| WP 5 | Imitation | 9 | | 25 | 42 | D5.1-5.7 |
| WP 6 | Gesture Communication | 6 | | 25 | 42 | D6.1-6.2 |
| WP 7 | Mechatronic of CUB | 10 | | 25 | 42 | D7.1-7.4 |
| WP8 | Open System | 1 | | 25 | 42 | D8.1-8.3 |
| WP 9 | Dissemination | 1 | | 25 | 42 | D9.1-9.3 |
| | TOTAL | | | | | |

8.3. Deliverables list

| Deliverable No | Deliverable title | Delivery date | Nature | Dissemination level |
|----------------|--|-------------------------|--------|---------------------|
| D 1.1 | Periodic Progress Reports | 6,12,18, 24, 36 | R | PU |
| D 1.2 | CUB's Licensing Strategy | 3 | R | PU |
| D 1.3 | Periodic Cost Statements | 12, 24, 36 | R | PU |
| D 1.4 | Project's Meeting | 6,12,18, 24, 30, 36, 42 | O | PU |
| D 1.5 | Audit Review Meetings | 12, 24, 36 | O | PU |
| D 2.1 | A Roadmap for the Development of Cognitive Capabilities in Humanoid Robots | 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42 | R | PU |
| D 2.2 | Software Implementation of the iCub Cognitive Architecture (version 1.0) | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D 3.1 | Models of Sensorimotor Coordination Primitives | 24, 30 | R+D | PU |
| D 3.2 | Results of experiments on the autonomous development of cortex-like somatosensory maps and directed sensorimotor behaviour | 18, 30 | R+D | PU |
| D 3.3 | A report reviewing experiments, data, and theories related to the superposition of rhythmic and discrete movement control in animals | 36 | R | PU |
| D 3.4 | A controller architecture for the iCub for the superposition and switch between rhythmic and discrete movements using attractor properties of nonlinear dynamical systems. | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D3.5 | Robotic implementation of models of sensory-motor coordination for reaching and grasping tasks | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D3.6 | Software implementation of the phylogenetic abilities specifically for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture. | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D 4.1 | Results of experiments on affordant behaviors. | 18, 30 | R+D | PU |
| D 4.2 | Software for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture. | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D 5.1 | Interpreting the Kinematics of Arm Motion | 6 | R | PU |
| D 5.2 | Visual recognition and Imitation | 12 | D | PU |

| | | | | |
|-------|--|--------|-----|----|
| D 5.3 | Algorithms for functional Imitation | 18 | R+D | PU |
| D 5.4 | First results of experiments on mirroring and communicative aspects of imitation. | 24 | R | PU |
| D 5.5 | Results from interaction studies on synchronization, mirroring and interaction kinesics | 36 | R | PU |
| D 5.6 | Results of the robotic implementation of anthropomorphic gaze behaviour in imitation of hand manipulative actions | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D 5.7 | Software for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture. | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D 6.1 | Results from computational/robotic models of gesture communication | 12, 24 | R | PU |
| D 6.2 | Results from interaction studies on gesture communication | 36 | R | PU |
| D 6.3 | Software implementation for action and gesture communication generation based on interaction histories for the iCub platform, and integration into the iCub cognitive architecture | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D 7.1 | Specifications of the single components of the mechatronic platform with a preliminary integration compatibility analysis | 18, 30 | R | PU |
| D 7.2 | Analysis and pre-selection of the sensor's and actuator's technologies | 12 | R | PU |
| D 7.3 | Experimental results of tests with existing platforms | 12, 24 | D | PU |
| D 7.4 | Novel bio-inspired sensory system for the open-loop to closed-loop transition in manipulation tasks | 42 | R+D | PU |
| D 8.1 | Specification of the iCub Open System | 12, 30 | R | PU |
| D 8.2 | Definition of Documentation and Manufacturing Procedures | 6 | R | PU |
| D 8.3 | Software Architecture | 18, 30 | R | PU |
| D 8.4 | Safety notice & disclaimer warning of the hazards of using the iCub and stating that users do so at their own risk | 36 | R | PU |
| D 9.1 | Proceedings of the Initial Scientific Meeting | 6 | R | PU |
| D 9.2 | Material produced for the training activities | 18, 30 | R | PU |
| D 9.3 | Progress report on Internationalization activities | 36 | R | PU |

| | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------|----|---|----|
| D 9.4 | Text of Call for Research Proposals | 36 | R | PU |
|-------|-------------------------------------|----|---|----|

8.4. Table of responsibilities

The following table contains the names of the persons responsible of the individual tasks and work-packages. The names are only given as a reference at the time of start of the project. As such they do not constitute a formal commitment on the partners and the change of names will not require a formal amendment of the contract but will only be subject to the approval of the Research Director as detailed in the management section and ruled by the Consortium Agreement.

| Work Packages | Responsible Partner | Responsible Person | UGDIST | SSSA | UNIZH | UNIUP | UNIFE | UNIHER | IST | UNISAL | EPFL | TLR | EBRI |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------|
| WP-1 Management | UGDIST | David Vernon | G. Sandini | P.Dario | R. Pfeifer | C.v .Hofsten | L. Fadiga | K. Dautenhahn | J. Santos-victor | D. Caldwell | A. Billard | F.Becchi | |
| WP2 Cognitive Development | UNIUP | Claes von Hofsten | D. Vernon | P.Dario | R. Pfeifer | C.v .Hofsten | L. Fadiga | K. Dautenhahn | J. Santos-victor | | A. Billard | | |
| WP3 Sensorimotor Coordination | UNIFE | Luciano Fadiga | G. Metta | P.Dario | R. Pfeifer | K. Rosander | L. Craighero | C. Nehaniv | A. Bernardino | D. Caldwell | A. Billard | | |
| WP4 Object's Affordance | IST | Josè Santos-Victor | G. Metta | | R. Pfeifer | K. Rosander | L. Fadiga | K. Dautenhahn | A. Bernardino | D. Caldwell | | | |
| WP5 Imitation Behaviors | EPFL | Aude Billard | G.Metta | P.Dario | R. Pfeifer | | L. Fadiga | K. Dautenhahn | J. Santos-victor | | A. Billard | | |
| WP6 Gesture Communication | UH | Kerstin Dautenhahn | | | | | L. Craighero | K. Dautenhahn | | | A. Billard | | |
| WP7 Mechatronics | TLR | Francesco Becchi | G.Metta | P.Dario | | | | | J. Santos-victor | D. Caldwell | A. Ijspeert | F.Becchi | |
| WP8 Infrastructure of Open System (CUB) | UGDIST | Giorgio Metta | D. Vernon | P.Dario | | | | | A. Bernardino | D. Caldwell | A. Ijspeert | F.Becchi | |
| WP9 Community Building and Assessment | UGDIST | Giulio Sandini | G. Sandini | P.Dario | R. Pfeifer | C.v .Hofsten | L. Fadiga | K. Dautenhahn | J. Santos-victor | D. Caldwell | A. Billard | F.Becchi | |

8.5. Work package descriptions

WP1 – Management

| Workpackage number | | 1 | | Start date or starting event: | | | | | | Month 1 | |
|--------------------|--------|------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|-----|--------|------|---------|------|
| Partner | ugdist | sssa | Unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | lst | unisal | epfl | tlr | ebri |
| PM | 7 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 |

Objectives

- 1) Control of the scientific and technological development of the project.
- 2) Project's self-assessment.
- 3) Internationalization and community building. The related activities will be managed by the Research Director and Technical Coordinator with the International Research Panel.
- 4) Coordination of training and dissemination.
- 5) Definition of the legal aspects of the licensing strategy.

Description of work - The project's objectives will be pursued through three complementary organizational activities.

1. Monthly assessment meetings of the project directorate primarily concerned with project management, open-systems support and licensing, management of IPR, and formulation of occasional calls for expansion of the partner base.
2. Three-monthly meetings of the Board of Management mainly concerned with assessment of progress, cross-area integration, and scientific innovation.
3. Six-monthly workshops involving everyone directly involved in the project, from graduate students right through to the research director. These workshops will concentrate on relatively polished presentations of current results, assessment of scientific progress by external experts, and open 'think-tank' scientific exploration of new avenues of enquiry.

Deliverables

- D 1.1 Periodic Progress Reports (month 6, 12, 18, 24, 36).
- D 1.2 iCub Licensing Strategy (month 3).
- D 1.3 Periodic Cost Statements (as defined in the table of deliverables).
- D 1.4 Project Meetings (see section 7 of Annex I for more details).
- D 1.5 Audit/Review Meetings with the EC representative(s).

Milestones and expected result

We expect a smooth operation of the project and its evolution toward a larger project.

WP2 – Cognitive Development

| Workpackage number | | | 2 | | Start date or starting event: | | | | | Month 1 | |
|--------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|--------|-----|--------|------|---------|------|
| Partner | ugdist | sssa | unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri |
| PM | 7 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Objectives: In this workpackage, we will study the development of early cognition and how to model the relevant aspects of such process within the boundaries of an artificial system. In particular, we will investigate the timeframe of a developmental process that begins to guide action by internal representations of upcoming events, by the knowledge of the rules and regularities of the world, and by the ability to separate means and end (or cause and effect). We will study and model how young children learn procedures to accomplish goals, how they learn new concepts, and how they learn to improve plans of actions. This research will be strongly driven by studies of developmental psychology and cognitive neuroscience and it will result in a physical implementation on an artificial system.

This work-package then will develop a conceptual framework that forms the foundation of the RobotCub project. It will survey what is known about cognition in natural systems, particularly from the developmental standpoint, with to goal of identifying the most appropriate system phylogeny and ontogeny. It will explore neuro-physiological and psychological models of some of these capabilities, noting where appropriate architectural considerations such as sub-system interdependencies that might shed light on the overall system organization. It will present a roadmap that uses the phylogeny and ontogeny of natural systems to define the innate skills with which iCub must be equipped so that it is capable of ontogenic development, to define the ontogenic process itself, and to show exactly how the iCub should be trained or to what environments it should be exposed to accomplish this ontogenic development (this would be an extension of the six-stage development plan above). Finally, it will address the creation and implementation of an architecture for cognition: a computational framework for the operational integration of the distinct capabilities and cognitive skills developed in WP3-6, and it will investigate the (very challenging) issue of theoretical unification of distinct models.

Description of work: We will develop functionally biologically plausible models of how early cognition evolves, taking into account both the brain mechanisms underlying the modeled cognitive processes and the learning procedures used by the child to accommodate new concepts and assimilate already acquired ones to better fit the outside world. These models will be validated against behavioral studies of how young children solve problems of various kinds and how they use internal representations of objects and events to plan actions. In particular, we will investigate infants' emerging ability to represent temporarily occluded objects, their ability to mentally rotate objects when fitting them into apertures, and how they learn to execute complex and sequential actions.

Task 2.1: Survey of what is known about cognition in natural systems, particularly from the developmental standpoint, with to goal of identifying the most appropriate system phylogeny and ontogeny (note, this is well under way at present; see Claes's paper on development).

Task 2.2: Explore neuro-physiological and psychological models of these capabilities, noting where appropriate architectural considerations such as sub-system interdependencies that might shed light on the overall system organization.

Task 2.3: iCub developmental roadmap: using the phylogeny and ontogeny of natural systems to define the innate skills with which iCub must be equipped so that it is capable of ontogenic development, to define the ontogenic process itself, and to show exactly how the iCub should be trained or to what environments it should be exposed to accomplish this ontogenic development (this would be an extension of the six-stage development plan above).

Task 2.4: Create a cognitive architecture: a computational framework for the operational integration

of the distinct capabilities and cognitive skills developed in WP3-6; also investigate the issue of theoretical unification of distinct models. This task will also address the mapping of this computational framework for cognitive processing onto the software architecture being developed in Task 8.6.

Task 2.5: contribution to the definition of functional iCub requirements.

Task 2.6: Software implementation of the iCub cognitive architecture.

Deliverables

D2.1 – Month 12, 18, 24, 30: A Roadmap for the Development of Cognitive Capabilities in Humanoid Robots

D2.2 – Month 42: Software Implementation of minimal iCub Cognitive Architecture (version 1.0: phylogenetic abilities and ontogenetic mechanisms)

Contribution to the document of specification of the iCub (month 18)

Milestones and expected result

Contribution to Milestone M2 (Definition of the Cognitive Architecture and Initial Validation with Cognitive Behaviors).

Contribution to Milestone 3.1 (Release of version 1.0 of the iCub software)

WP3 – Sensorimotor Coordination

| Workpackage number | | | 3 | | | Start date or starting event: | | | | | Month 1 | |
|--------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-----|--------|------|-----|---------|--|
| Partner | ugdist | sssa | unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri | |
| PM | 18 | 12 | 7.5 | 6 | 17 | 3.5 | 6 | 16 | 29.5 | 0 | 0 | |

Objectives: In this work package, we will study and model the development of sensorimotor coordination and sensorimotor mapping. We will identify in what ways the sensorimotor system is determined by biology, how this is expressed in development, and how experience enters into the process in forming reliable and sophisticated tools for exploring and manipulating the outside world. Sensory information (visual, proprioceptive, auditory) necessary to organize goal-directed actions will be considered. These aspects will be investigated in humans and transferred into the cognitive architecture of the artificial system. There are two main objectives of WP3:

1. Model how sensorimotor systems evolve from sets of relatively independent mechanisms to unified functional systems. In particular, we will study and model the ontogenesis of looking and reaching for example by asking the following questions: How does gaze control evolve from the saccadic behavior of newborns to the precise and dynamic mode of control that takes into account both the movement of the actor and the motion of objects in the surrounding? How does reaching evolve from the crude coordination in newborns to the sophisticated and skillful manipulation in older children?

In addition, we will model how different sensorimotor maps (for gaze/head orienting, for reaching, for grasping, etc.) can be fused to form a subjectively unitary perception/action system. Among our investigations, the way by which the brain coordinates the different effectors, to form a pragmatic representation of the external world will be modeled by using neurophysiological, psychophysical, and robotics techniques.

2. Investigate and model the role of motor representation as tools serving not only to act but also to perceive. This topic, partially covered by WP4, WP5 and WP6, clearly benefits from a unifying vision based on the idea that the motor system (at least at its representational level) forms the “active filter” carving out the passively perceived stimuli by means of attentional or “active perception” processes.

The contribution of WP3 to the implementation of sensorimotor coupling in the artificial system concerns, in more detail, (i) the ability of learning and exploiting object affordances in order to correctly grasp objects on the basis of their use; (ii) the ability of understanding and exploiting simple gestures to interact socially; (iii) the ability of learning new manipulation skills and new communicative gestures; (iv) the ability of correctly interpreting and imitating the gestures of a human demonstrator; (v) the ability to allocate attention and to predict own and others’ action outcomes. These objectives will be demonstrated through neurophysiological experiments in animal models, through psychophysics and neuroimaging in humans, through the testing of the robot’s cognitive abilities in realistic situations, such as the interactions with humans.

A focus of the effort in months 25-42 is the implementation of these phylogenetic abilities specifically for the iCub (refer to Deliverable D2.1, Section 15.6.1 for details).

Description of work: We will develop functional biologically plausible models of how sensorimotor coordination evolves, taking into account both how it is determined by the maturation of brain processes and how it is altered and refined by experience. In the period from month 25 to 42 we are planning to organize the activity of WP3 according to the following schema:

- 1) Sensorimotor coordination: **phylogenetic cues**. Animal models will be studied to understand the role of visual inputs to the premotor cortex, the cortical representation of kinematics, dynamics

and muscle synergies during reaching grasping, and the phylogenetic development of the mirror-neuron system for others' action understanding. More in detail, we will investigate in reaching-grasping tasks by standard electrophysiological techniques (i.e. single neuron and local field potential recordings) the modulation of the discharge of hand-related premotor neurons due to the vision of the acting hand and of the to-be-grasped object. In addition, a map relating local field potential to pointing/manipulation movements directed at targets placed in different workspace locations will be drawn on the basis of multielectrode, subdural, recordings of cortical local field potentials in humans (neurosurgery patients). Finally, we will explore the possibility that a mirror-neuron system exist not only in primates but also in simpler animals such as rats, characterized by an intense social interaction.

- 2) Sensorimotor coordination: **ontogenetic cues**. First, we will address the development of the oculomotor system. This system involves both the head and the eyes and is driven by visual and vestibular information. The different parts of the system have to collaborate precisely in order to control gaze and we will study how this is accomplished. The possibility that gaze allocation may reveal prospective planning and others' action understanding will be studied in infants during their development. Secondly, we will study how sensorimotor maps are established in various domains and especially those associated with vision. From birth on, infants like to view their own hands and we will study the importance of this activity to build a visuomotor map for the establishment of manual coordination. Thirdly, we will study the contribution of the different factors responsible for the establishment of new modes of behavior, like the onset of functional reaching and grasping. We will be answering to the question of what are the contributions of improved postural and gaze control, binocular depth perception, increase in arm strength, the differentiation of arm, hand movements, and the establishment of relatively independent finger control. The investigation of the motion parameters of "biological motion" will be among the argument that UGDIST will afford within this workpackage. Fourthly, we will model the mechanisms by which sensorimotor coordination improves with experience. What characterizes this kind of learning in early development and what kind of memory processes are associated with it. Finally, we will explore visuospatial and object-related attentional mechanisms allowing the selection in the environment of the target for a reaching-grasping action. Psychophysical and brain imaging techniques (i.e. fMRI and NIRS) will be employed in these experiments.
- 3) Sensorimotor coordination: **schemas in artifacts**. During the period from month 25 to 42, we will continue to extend the work done by EPFL on the development of controllers for visuo-motor coordination in the iCub, in particular for robust goal-directed reaching motions without singularities [Hersch & Billard 2006b]. The controller combines a dynamical systems approach with classical control theory, such as Lagrange optimization of the inverse kinematics. This extension will address the robust visuo-motor control of the full torso (2 arms and the torso) for simple manipulatory tasks. Experimental support for the model will be provided by UNIFE. Similarly, work to be done in this period will include studies of the autonomous development of sensorimotor control by elaborating the informational-metric methodology developed by UNIHER to create somatosensory maps, extract sensorimotor laws (perceptuo-motor regularities and contingencies of perceptuo-motor events), and to use these laws to guide behavior, while exploiting fusion amongst sensory sources from different modalities. The work done at IST, regarding on-line learning of visuo-motor maps [Lopes et al. 06], will be further developed from months 13 to 30. On one hand we will evaluate the application of such maps for efficient reaching and grasping of static and moving objects. On the other hand, based on current work on redundant manipulation [Lopes & Santos-Victor 05], we will study how the learning of such maps depend and constrain the particular robot developmental stage. Finally, we include explicitly in this work-package, from month 13 on, the important and complex issue of locomotion: the autonomous repositioning of the iCub by crawling, the transition to a sitting position, and the balancing that is required when the iCub plays and interacts with its environment. Our approach is based on models of central pattern generators (CPGs) based on systems of coupled nonlinear oscillators. Similarly to what is known from vertebrate locomotion control, the CPG models will require only simple control signals to initiate and modulate locomotion, and should therefore be fairly easily integrated and modulated by higher level controllers.

Superposition of rhythmic and discrete movements

Mammals and in particular humans have no difficulties combining rhythmic and discrete movements. For instance, during many rhythmic movements such as locomotion, drumming, cutting vegetables, etc. limbs make movements that are rhythmic, i.e. which repeat themselves with some intrinsic period, while also undergoing discrete, i.e. punctual, modifications from time to time to reach particular targets. Both from a neurobiological and from a robotics point of view, it is interesting to explore how these movements can be controlled. The goal of this task is therefore two-fold: (1) to explore to which extent motor control in animals share the same substrate for rhythmic and discrete movements, and (2) to design controllers for the iCub which can superpose and switch between rhythmic and discrete movements using attractor properties of nonlinear dynamical systems. A particular interesting aspect of this work will be to relate research in locomotion (crawling) to research in reaching/grasping, and to address questions such as when a baby or a cat switches from visually guided locomotion (i.e. using vision to place limbs at particular places) to play with an object with its forelimbs does it switch between two completely different control schemes or does it reuse the same controllers for both situations?

We will explore these questions in a dynamical systems framework with tight interactions with the task addressing functional reaching and grasping (Task 3.2), the task on bimanual coordination (Task 3.3), and the task on locomotion (Task 3.6). Our approach will reuse the CPG models developed for Task 3.6 and extend them with additional discrete controllers such as to add the possibility to discretely modulate the rhythmic patterns. At first, the discrete controllers will be implemented as simple single-point attractor systems. Later, we will try to incorporate the more sophisticated controllers developed in Tasks 3.2 and 3.3. Several control architectures will be explored including *parallel* architectures in which the rhythmic and discrete controllers are completely independent and only fuse their control signals at the output level, as well as *in-series* architectures in which one system (e.g. the discrete one) provides input signals to the other one (e.g. the rhythmic one).

Note that although the iCub will be designed so that several control strategies could be implemented, it is our intention to address specifically force control based on the use of the so-called "force fields".

To preserve the unity of the models developed, the activity is broken down into tasks referring to specific sensorimotor subsystems and their development. In particular:

Task 3.1: Modeling the ontogenesis of gaze control and eye-head coordination, for example to study and model oculomotor involvement in orienting of visuospatial attention and visuomotor priming in object-directed actions.

Task 3.2: Modeling the ontogenesis of functional reaching and grasping of arm-hand cooperation (Grasping - haptic) to study aspects such as how to predict reaching/grasping outcomes and how to code action goals.

Task 3.3: Bimanual Coordination. Activity here will be devoted to a relatively unexplored area (at least with respect to the scientific literature on manual reaching and grasping) of how bimanual coordination develops.

Task 3.4: Contribution to definition of functional CUB requirements [Terminated]

Task 3.5: Neuroscience and robotic experiments on the functional development of cortical representations (i.e. sensorimotor synergies and somatotopy).

Task 3.6: Modelling of locomotion and transitions between locomotion and rest (sitting) states; including simulation and robotic experiments on the autonomous exercise of locomotive behaviour.

Task 3.7: Superposition of rhythmic and discrete movements

Task 3.8: Robotic implementation of models of sensory-motor coordination for reaching and grasping tasks: models based on self-organizing maps will be implemented on the upper body of the iCub platform to investigate the generation of visuo-tactile-motor correlations by learning.

Task 3.9: Software implementation of the phylogenetic abilities specifically for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture.

These abilities include the following:

- Ability to reorient and locomote based on local view-dependent landmarks

The EPFL locomotion controller will be made compatible with the Yarp-based iCub software architecture by month 42.

The SSSA implementation of models of sensory-motor coordination for reaching and grasping will be made compatible with the Yarp-based iCub software architecture by month 42.

Deliverables

D 3.1 – Month 24, 30: Initial implementation of models of sensorimotor coordination primitives (report and demo)

D 3.2 – Month 18, 30: Initial results of experiments on the functional organization of the somatotopic maps and on the cortical representation of movements (report)

D3.3 – Month 36: A report reviewing experiments, data, and theories related to the superposition of rhythmic and discrete movement control in animals.

D3.4 – Month 42: A controller architecture for the iCub for the superposition and switch between rhythmic and discrete movements using attractor properties of nonlinear dynamical systems.

D3.5 – Month 42: Robotic implementation of models of sensory-motor coordination for reaching and grasping tasks.

D 3.6 – Month 42: Software implementation of the phylogenetic abilities specifically for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture.

Contribution to D 2.1 and Contribution to the document of specification of the iCub (month 18).

Milestones and expected result

Contribution to Milestones M1, M2 and M3. This WP should provide all baseline information and modeling regarding the sensorimotor primitives required to address the cognitive manipulation aspects of the project in WP4, WP5 and WP6.

Contribution to Milestone 3.1 (Release of version 1.0 of the iCub software)

WP4 – Object Affordances

| Workpackage number | | | 4 | | | Start date or starting event: | | | | Month 1 | |
|--------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-----|--------|------|---------|------|
| Partner | ugdist | sssa | unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | Ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri |
| PM | 13.5 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 17 | 1.5 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Objectives: The goal of this WP is that of exploring and modeling the mechanisms underlying the acquisition of object affordances. This investigation can be seen developmentally as an extension of WP3. Specific models of how the primate’s brain represents affordances will be considered (for example the parietal-frontal circuit) as well as results from psychological sciences. Note how much this is linked to aspects of sensorimotor coordination on one side (WP3) and of imitation and the understanding of goals on the other (WP5 and WP6). Specifically, we will investigate:

1. What exploratory behaviors support the acquisition of affordances, what is the relevant information (visual, haptic, motor, etc.)?
2. We will develop a model of the acquisition of object affordances and how the motor information enters into the description of perceptual quantities.
3. In analogy to what observed in the brain, we will investigate how the definition of purpose (or goal) participates into the representation of the actions an object affords.

Description of work: Continuing the work of WP3, this workpackage will investigate how certain actions (e.g. manipulative) support a multi-modal representation of both the action itself and the object involved in the action. Based on the abundance of experimental results of neural sciences we will develop and implement a model of how this representation of objects is acquired during development.

We will study to what extent motor information participates in this representation and whether there are computational advantages in learning and recognizing actions by virtue of the use of motor information. Further, we will specifically study how the ability of performing certain actions influences the ability of recognizing the same action when performed by somebody else.

For the acquisition of affordances two fundamental means will be considered: by self-exploration and by observing others’ actions (learning from examples). Learning of object affordances can start by self-interacting with objects in the world and incorporating invariant cause-effect relationships. Once a sufficiently sophisticated representational level has evolved, learning can also happen by observing others interacting with objects. Therefore, this workpackage has strong correlations with WP3 on whose results – providing supporting cognitive and sensorimotor capabilities – it relies and with WP5 and WP6 to which it could provide the basis for interaction and imitation.

Note that this workpackage tackles a central issue of the larger questions related to manipulation, in practice, bridging the gap between the effecting of certain actions (motor aspect) and the perception of the same set of actions (perceptual aspect). This direction of study and its expected results clearly have profound impact on how we define and analyze Cognition. Also, more philosophical aspects of the question of “what is Cognition” and “how relevant is embodiment” are somewhat addressed although indirectly.

Task 4.1: Define roadmap of affordance-based experiments.

Task 4.2: Early affordant behaviors. Initial experiments will focus on self-exploration, to understand the development of the “basic” repertoire upon which an imitation system can develop. Successively the recognition of other individuals’ actions will provide examples for acquiring new affordances.

Task 4.3: Contribution to definition of functional iCub requirements [Terminated].

Task 4.4: Software implementation for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture.

Deliverables

D 4.1 – Month 18, 30: Results of experiments on affordant behaviors.

D 4.2 – Month 42: Software implementation for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture.

Contribution to D 8.1.

Milestones and expected result

Contribution to milestones M1 and M2.

Contribution to Milestone 3.1 (Release of version 1.0 of the iCub software)

WP5 – Imitation

| Workpackage number | | | 5 | | | | | Start date or starting event: | | | Month 1 | |
|--------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----|-------------------------------|------|-----|---------|--|
| Partner | ugdist | sssa | unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri | |
| PM | 3 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 18.5 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |

Objectives: In this workpackage, we will study the early developmental stages of infant imitation. In particular, we will look at imitation of goal-directed manipulation task and imitation of simple gestures, such as pointing, waving and simple miming. This research will be strongly driven by studies of developmental psychology and cognitive neuroscience. In particular, we will look at the following cognitive stages underlying children imitative behavior: a) imitation of goal-directed arm motions (pointing and reaching for objects), b) imitation of the functional goal of arm motion (grasping, pushing, dropping objects), c) understanding the communication effect of imitation or the passage from being an imitator to become a demonstrator.

Description of work: We will develop functionally biologically plausible models of the brain mechanisms underlying the cognitive processes behind imitation and will validate those against behavioral studies from child imitation (from newborn to 2 years old). We will follow two major approaches: The first approach will use methods from computational neuroscience (neural networks modeling) to give an account of the functionality and connectivity of the brain areas (Broca, PMd, STS, AIP, etc) involved in imitation, using recent data from brain imaging and neurological studies in humans and monkeys. The neural model will have to account for the child's ability to proceed to the required frame of reference transformation in order to interpret the motion of the demonstrator's hand towards an object with respect to its own body referential. It will also have to account for the differentiated pathway taken by visual information to differentiate between goal-directed imitation, where tracking of the hand-object relationship alone is sufficient, and functional imitation, where tracking of the whole arm motion is required. The second approach will develop behavioral and functional models of the cognitive processes underlying children imitation. These models will investigate different metrics for the evaluation of success of imitation in order to account for the hierarchical and differential nature of children imitation. The more cognitive approach will also tackle the issues of when and who to imitate, and when to become a demonstrator, through discussions. It will define scenarios in which these issues could be investigated at a later stage in the project.

During the period from month 25 to 42, we will continue taking two approaches to modeling imitation. The first approach develops biologically plausible models, based on sequences of associative memory, for the recognition and reproduction of gestures [Maurer, Hersch & Billard, 2005]. In the next workplan, we will extend the model to allow learning of sequential and hierarchical acquisition of combined set of gestures.

The second approach develops controllers for visuo-motor imitation that have no biological basis. The controllers combine dynamical systems and classical control theory. They produce robust and adaptive visuo-motor control (see WP3) [Hersch & Billard, 2006a]. In the next 18 months, we will further develop and analyze these models. In particular, we exploit their properties at predicting the outcome of a motion to prompt the robot's recognition of others' actions. We will investigate how such mechanisms can enhance learning from observing other's actions, and, especially learning from others' mistakes [Harris & Want, 2001].

The learning of sequential and hierarchical tasks require some form of perceptual temporal segmentation and analysis. IST will extend some current work on video event analysis [Lopes et al 05], in order to segment the tasks into elemental actions for efficient description and imitation.

During the third phase of Robotcub, UNIHER will continue to study imitative synchronization and interaction kinesics in collaboration with WP6. Within WP5 aspects of mirroring and communicative aspects of imitation in imitative behaviour between a robot and people interacting with it will be investigated. The work at UNIHER will comprise the detailed analysis and reporting on experiments involving 22 children, initial results are reported in D5.4. The detailed analysis will involve a second-by-second analysis of a large corpus of video data, as well as rigorous statistical analysis. Results from this work will illuminate issues of delay and timing in imitative interaction games (imitation of bodily gestures and imitation of drumming), as well as the impact of robot body expressions on such interactions. The results from the Wizard-of-Oz studies (with a remotely controlled humanoid robot) on the influence of delay and body expressions on regulating imitative child-robot interactions will impact the design and development of a computational model of imitative interaction games that can be played with a humanoid robot, incorporating results from timing, delay and body expressions highlighted in previous studies with children. Beyond month 36 the implementation of this computational model will be further finalized and tested rigorously in human-robot interaction studies in experimental setups focusing on the communicative role of imitation.

During the period from month 25 to 42, SSSA aims at studying the role of gaze in the observation of hand movements during learning by imitation. A first part of this task will consist of experimental trials with human subjects observing manipulative actions by someone else, with the aim of learning and imitating such actions. Gaze shifts will be measured during this observation by means of a gaze tracking system available at SSSA (FaceLab system). In the second part of the task, the results of the first experimental phase will be used for implementing an anthropomorphic gaze behaviour in the iCub that can help the observation of a teacher's manipulative actions. The gaze behaviour will be tested and validated experimentally by using the iCub hand and head and the ARTS humanoid platform.

The work will be divided into the following tasks:

Task 5.1: Design, experimentally study and analyse aspects of mirroring, timing, body expression and communicative aspects of imitation in child-robot interaction and using computational models of imitative interaction games

Task 5.2: Imitative Learning of Simple Manipulation Tasks

Task 5.3: Software implementation for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture.

Task 5.4: Experimental investigation on the role of gaze in imitation of hand movements.

The EPFL imitation controller will be made compatible with the Yarp-based iCub software architecture by month 42

The SSSA gaze software will be made compatible with the Yarp-based iCub software architecture by month 42

Deliverables

D 5.1 - Month 6: Evaluation of an algorithm for interpreting the kinematics of arm motion and its relationship to object motion.

D 5.2 - Month 12: Implementation of visual recognition and imitation of goal-directed reaching motion.

D 5.3 - Month 18: Implementation of goal-directed and functional imitation of simple manipulation of objects.

D 5.4 - Month 24: First results of experiments on mirroring and communicative aspects of imitation.

D 5.5 – Month 36: Results from interaction studies on synchronization, mirroring and interaction kinesics (report)

D 5.6 – Month 42: Results of the robotic implementation of anthropomorphic gaze behaviour in imitation of hand manipulative actions.

D 5.7 – Month 42: Software implementation for the iCub & integration in the iCub Cognitive Architecture.

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Milestones and expected result

Contribution to Milestone M2. WE expect this WP to implement initial imitation behaviors from both kinematics information and the understanding of the action's goals.

Contribution to Milestone 3.1 (Release of version 1.0 of the iCub software)

WP6 – Gesture Communication

| Workpackage number | | | 6 | | | Start date or starting event: | | | | Month 1 | |
|--------------------|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-----|--------|------|---------|------|
| Partner | ugdistr | sssa | unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri |
| PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 26.5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Objectives:

This WP focuses on the regulation of interaction dynamics of social interaction during human-robot play and its development in ontogeny. The pre-requisites for interactive and communicative behaviour grounded in sensorimotor experience and interaction histories will be investigated and developed with specific consideration of interaction kinesics (including gestures, synchronization and rhythms of movements etc.). This work includes, *inter alia*, information theoretic methods applied to characterizing and identifying experience, mapping sensor space and learning motor capabilities.

The objectives of this WP are four-fold:

1. Development of the pre-requisites for (non-verbal) interactive and communicative behaviour grounded in sensorimotor experience and interaction histories
2. To research mechanisms for an autonomous robotic agent (both humanoid or other as appropriate) to engage in non-verbal gestural communication with humans, and to ontogenetically develop such capabilities through various kinds of social interactions including play.
3. Investigation of turn-taking interaction kinesics (the study of the rhythm and timing of non-verbal communicative behaviour) in play-based interactions between a humanoid robot and humans
4. To investigate how a robot can recognize the types of interaction it is engaged in and adjust its behaviour accordingly in order to regulate the interactions towards an appropriate level of complexity of interaction .

Description of work:

1. During the third year of the project, the work of UNIHER in WP6 will continue to investigate grounded gestural communication using information-theoretic methods developed during the first two years of the project. These methods provide robots with the mechanisms to develop in ontogeny the capability to engage in simple interactions based on previous experiences located in a metric space.
 - UNIHER will continue to use interaction games such as “peekaboo” as interaction scenarios, and move towards using a humanoid platform in the interactions, different from the previously used AIBO robot. This will allow for a larger variety of expressive gestures to be used in the interactions, as well as providing a more ‘natural’ context to the interaction.
 - The introduction of (non-verbal) modalities such as audio to the multi-modal experience, with the aim of enriching the interaction between human and robot, will also be investigated. Audio in these terms can be seen as an additional communicative information channel useful in supporting the interaction in protoconversation, as seen in the “peekaboo” game
 - Multi-modal experience spaces with multiple or adaptive temporal horizon length will be further investigated and this work will draw on previous research by UNIHER within the

RobotCub project including that on creating somato-sensoritopic maps from unlabeled sensors, and research on higher order trajectories in spaces where different modalities are placed in complementary relation.

- An important area for investigation will be in making these methods temporally scalable. This allows for the possibility for an interaction history to be collected and used for extended periods of time appropriate for developing behaviour ontogenetically through many series of interactions. This work will also investigate how the resulting experience space can be useful in terms of identifying familiar experiences, novel experiences and unique individual experiences – all characteristics of human experiential or episodic memory.
 - Another area for investigation into scalability, is providing experiential history for different sizes of horizon length investigating the use of adaptive temporal horizon length as well as spaces of experience on different time-scales, and the integration thereof.
2. UNIHER will continue to investigate the rhythm and timing of interactive gestural communication using a humanoid robotic platform in conjunction with WP5. In particular, the role of expressive gestures in supporting the development of turn-taking and structured interactions will be explored.
 - 3, UNIHER will investigate how robots can adjust their level and style of play in response to the style of play in which it currently finds itself and thus find a style and level of play appropriate to its interaction partner's capabilities. This work will extend previous research in this workpackage where a robot was able to identify the type of play it is engaged in on-line using categories of behaviour discovered during earlier interactions. Extensions might explore how a robot might adjust its own behaviour, such that it directs the play partner to more positive and potentially more complex behaviour.
 4. EPFL will further investigate the communicative aspects of gesture recognition and its role in building up social cognition. In particular, the role that gestures play in conveying information and in directing the robot's focus of attention to the aspect of the context that are relevant to learning a given task will be investigated. Also, the relationship between recognizing and predicting the outcome of gestures and the effects this has on supporting communication will be studied.
- Task 6.1: Explore simple playful gestural interaction games using information-theoretic based interaction histories on a humanoid robot interacting with human subjects.
- Task 6.2: Use sensors in further modalities to enrich the robot's experience, and incorporate this into the interaction history.
- Task 6.3: Investigate multi-modal experience with respect to turn-taking and interaction.
- Task 6.4: Address issues of scalability in terms of time by collapsing and deleting experiences in an interaction history ("*forgetting*"). Relate such modified experiential spaces to driving concepts of *novelty*, *familiarity* and *mastery* as well as to aspects of episodic memory.
- Task 6.5: Investigate mechanisms to adjust levels of play in response to styles and levels of interaction.
- Task 6.6: Investigate eye-contact capability for the iCub
- Task 6.7: Implementation of software for action and gesture communication generation based on interaction histories for the iCub platform, and integration into the iCub cognitive architecture.

Deliverables

D 6.1 – Month 12, 24: Results from computational/robotic models of gesture communication.

D 6.2 – Month 36: Results from interaction studies on gesture communication.

D 6.3 – Month 42: Software implementation for action and gesture communication generation based

on interaction histories for the iCub platform, and integration into the iCub cognitive architecture.

Milestones and expected result

Contribution to Milestones M1& M2;

Contribution to Milestone 3.1 (Release of version 1.0 of the iCub software)

WP7 – Mechatronics of the iCub

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Workpackage number | | | 7 | | | Start date or starting event: | | | | Month 1 | |
| Partner | ugdist | sssa | unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri |
| PM | 23 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 18 | 13 | 0 |

Objectives

- The realization of the first prototype of the iCub at month 30.
- The realization of the final prototype of the iCub at month 36.

Description of work

In the first six months the effort will be devoted mainly to the finalization of the design, with some activities starting in parallel for the realization of the first complete prototype of the iCub. The realization of the robot will proceed from the head, then shoulders and one arm (for testing) and eventually by completing with the lower body.

The integration will always be done through the supervision of TLR and the final integration of the mechanics with electronics and control will be initially carried out at UGDIST. Contributions from all partners involved in the design are expected through frequent exchange of information and additional meetings (as during the design stage).

The long construction activity accommodates a debugging period of not less than a year. During this period, parts might need to be rebuilt and solutions to unexpected problems found by redesign and additional testing. The mechanical solutions will be checked together with the final electronics and controller.

It is planned to complete the upper torso approximately month 26 and the debugging started: upper body (shoulder and elbow) and lower body (waist) and integration of the two. During the same period, the realization of the lower body should be completed at UNISAL. Integration will start directly after. At month 28, we will begin the testing of the 1st leg, the forearm, and the hand. By month 30, the first version of a full prototype (version 1) will be complete. Versions 2 and 3 prototypes will be complete by month 36.

More specifically the activities will be divided into the following tasks each of them addressing the major phases of the realization of the iCub.

Task 7.1 Complete design

Task 7.2 Debugging of existing components

Task 7.3 Realization of copies

Even though the priority activity in WP7 is to integrate all the mechatronic components into a fully-functional and complete iCub, sub-systems, such as the iCub head, will be made available for replications when complete. This will also other partners to develop software utilities for these sub-systems independently of the integration work on the initial prototype.

An additional task will be undertaken by SSSA:

Task 7.4: Development of a novel bio-inspired sensory system for the open-loop to closed-loop transition in manipulation tasks:

In the development of a local low level control for a dextrous manipulation of objects, both proprioception and exteroception are mandatory. Nevertheless, a further investigation of dedicated sensors is critical to avoid the risk of a *not closed-loop* or limited capabilities available in the *iCub* final version. This task is two-folded: the first part is dedicated to the development of a new set of sensors and their integration in the *low level* control of a potentially dextrous hand. The second part concerns the consequent tests on the SSSA *iCub* hand (and eventually on of the *iCub* forearm).

Note that the Milestones M2.1 and M3.1 below are synchronous with the timing of the open call for research proposals.

Deliverables

D 7.1 – Month 18, 30: Specifications of the single components of the mechatronic platform with a preliminary integration compatibility analysis.

D 7.2 – Month 12: Analysis and pre-selection of the sensor's and actuator's technologies.

D 7.3 – Month 12, 24: Experimental results of tests with existing platforms.

D 7.4 – Month 42: Novel bio-inspired sensory system for the open-loop to closed-loop transition in manipulation tasks.

Milestones and expected result

Milestone M1

Milestone M2 (month 30) : Cub Prototype

Milestone M2.1 (month 36): Final design and construction of the first complete validated prototype of the iCub

Milestone M3.2 (month 42): Release of the iCub robot kit

WP8 – Open System (iCub)

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Workpackage number | | | 8 | | | Start date or starting event: | | | | Month 1 | | |
| Partner | ugd | dist | sssa | unizh | Uniup | unife | uniher | ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri |
| PM | 58.5 | | 8.5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 0 |

| |
|---|
| <p>Objectives</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Define the activity related to the creation, licensing, and distribution of the “Open Platform”. 2. Define the mechanical, documentation, and software standards to ensure the widest acceptability of the platform. 3. Help in defining the platform and coordinate with WP2 for requirements and WP7 for mechatronic and technological aspects. |
|---|

| |
|---|
| <p>Description of work</p> <p>The activity of this workpackage is devoted to the creation and support of the community of “end-users” of the “Open Platform”. In the initial phases of the project the main activity will be to define and establish the infrastructure of the CUB initiative. In this respect, the workpackage will define the various standard and requirements.</p> <p>Although the work with WP8 is easily described amounting to a few sentences, its role should not be underestimated since one of the achievements of Robot-cub as a whole is the creation of a community around a common platform.</p> <p>Especially important are the acceptance of the standards and the will of sharing upgrades and improvements within the community. The real measure of success is in our view mostly related to the possibility of creating a self-supporting initiative that will extend naturally well beyond the Robot-cub project.</p> <p>Also, this workpackage will work on the definition of the licensing and legal aspects, in particular, when non-EU partners and/or collaborations are considered. Along the same line, collaborations with industries interested in the “packaging and re-selling” of the CUB will be thoroughly evaluated/considered.</p> <p>Task 8.1: Definition of the documentation’s and CAD’s standards [Terminated]</p> <p>Task 8.2: Documentation of mechanical design and components.</p> <p>Task 8.3: Documentation of the design of the electronics and components.</p> <p>Task 8.4: Software documentation.</p> <p>Task 8.5: Legal and administrative issues.</p> <p>Task 8.6: Software Architecture</p> |
|---|

| |
|---|
| <p>Deliverables</p> <p>D 8.1 – Month 12, 30: Specification of the iCub Open System.</p> <p>D 8.2 – Month 6: Definition of Documentation and Manufacturing Procedures.</p> <p>D 8.3 – Month 18, 30: Software Architecture</p> <p>D 8.4 – Month 36: Safety notice & disclaimer warning of the hazards of using the iCub and stating that users do so at their own risk</p> |
|---|

Milestones and expected result

Milestone M1.

Milestone M3.1: Release of version 1.0 of the iCub software (Month 42)

WP9 – Community Building and Self Assessment

| Workpackage number | | | 9 | | | Start date or starting event: | | | | | Month 1 | |
|--------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------------|-----|--------|------|-----|---------|--|
| Partner | ugdist | sssa | unizh | uniup | unife | uniher | ist | unisal | epfl | Tlr | ebri | |
| PM | 8 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 3 | 2.5 | 1 | 0 | |

Objectives

- Extend the base of knowledge for the definition of the CUB cognitive and mechatronic architectures and the adopted technologies by co-opting EU and non-EU scientists.
- Promote an international project on Embodied Cognition supported by national and international funding agencies.
- Monitor the advancement of the project toward the fulfillment of the project's objectives.
- Organize training and dissemination activities.
- Design, implement, and maintain a website to facilitate dissemination of all RobotCub-related information both between members of the consortium, and between the consortium and outside parties.

Description of work

The work in this WP will be mostly related to organizations of meetings and workshop to reach the three objectives described above. The meetings will be organized as internal or open to the scientific and industrial communities. The management bodies relevant for this Workpackage are the International Research Panel (IRP) and the Board of Management (BM). Jointly they will decide on the topics to be discussed and the format of the meeting. The members of the IRP will be responsible of contacting funding agencies that may be interested in joining the International Project as well as industrial organizations potentially interested in monitoring the results of Robot-Cub.

The work will be organized in the following tasks:

Task 9.1: Internationalization: organize meetings with scientists and funding agencies.

Task 9.2: Training: organize training sessions for the project's participants as well as summer school on topics relevant to Cognitive Robotics.

Task 9.3: Assessment. At least once a year organize a formal assessment of the project.

Task 9.4: RobotCub website re-design.

Task 9.5: Open call for research projects.

Deliverables

D 9.1 – Month 6: Proceedings of the Initial Scientific Meeting.

D 9.2 – Month 18, 30: Material produced for the training activities.

D 9.3 – Month 36: Progress report on Internationalization activities.

D 9.4 – Month 36: Text of Call for Research Proposals

Milestones and expected result

Milestone M 2.2 – Month 36: Launch of call for proposals for 3rd party research projects

Milestone M 2.3 – Month 36: Opening of the Research and Training Site (RTS)

Milestone M 3.3 – Month 42: Launch of 3rd party research projects.